

# **Iperf** Tutorial

Jon Dugan <jdugan@es.net>

Summer JointTechs 2010, Columbus, OH





### Outline

ESnet

What are we measuring?

**TCP** Measurements

**UDP** Measurements

**Useful tricks** 

**Iperf Development** 



### What are we measuring?

## Throughput? Bandwidth? What?

The term "throughput" is vague

- Capacity: link speed
  - Narrow Link: link with the lowest capacity along a path
  - Capacity of the end-to-end path = capacity of the narrow link
- Utilized bandwidth: current traffic load
- Available bandwidth: capacity utilized bandwidth
  - Tight Link: link with the least available bandwidth in a path
- Achievable bandwidth: includes protocol and host issues





## Iperf data flow







### **TCP** Measurements

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy | Office of Science

## **TCP** Measurements

Measures TCP Achievable Bandwidth

- Measurement includes the end system
- Sometimes called "memory-to-memory" tests
- Set expectations for well coded application
- Limits of what we can measure
  - TCP hides details
  - In hiding the details it can obscure what is causing errors

Many things can limit TCP throughput

Loss

- Congestion
- Buffer Starvation
- Out of order delivery



## Example Iperf TCP Invocation

#### Server (receiver):

#### \$ iperf -s

Server listening on TCP port 5001 TCP window size: 85.3 KByte (default) [ 4] local 10.0.1.5 port 5001 connected with 10.0.1.10 port 60830 [ 4] 0.0-10.0 sec 1.09 GBytes 933 Mbits/sec

[ 4] local 10.0.1.5 port 5001 connected with 10.0.1.10 port 60831

\_\_\_\_

[ 4] 0.0-10.0 sec 1.08 GBytes 931 Mbits/sec

#### Client (sender):

```
$ iperf -c 10.0.1.5
Client connecting to 10.0.1.5, TCP port 5001
TCP window size: 129 KByte (default)
[ 3] local 10.0.1.10 port 60830 connected with 10.0.1.5 port 5001
[ ID] Interval Transfer Bandwidth
```

[ 3] 0.0-10.2 sec 1.09 GBytes 913 Mbits/sec



## TCP performance: window size



Use TCP auto tuning if possible

 $\Pi$ 

• Linux 2.6, Mac OS X 10.5, FreeBSD 7.x, and Windows Vista

The –w option for Iperf can be used to request a particular buffer size.

- Use this if your OS doesn't have TCP auto tuning
- This sets both send and receive buffer size.
- The OS may need to be tweaked to allow buffers of sufficient size.
- See <a href="http://fasterdata.es.net/tuning.html">http://fasterdata.es.net/tuning.html</a> for more details

Parallel transfers may help as well, the –P option can be used for this

To get full TCP performance the TCP window needs to be large enough to accommodate the Bandwidth Delay Product

## TCP performance: Bandwidth Delay Product



The amount of "in flight" data allowed for a TCP connection BDP = bandwidth \* round trip time Example: 1Gb/s cross country, ~100ms 1,000,000,000 b/s \* .1 s = 100,000,000 bits100,000,000 / 8 = 12,500,000 bytes12,500,000 bytes / (1024\*1024) ~ 12MB

## TCP performance: read/write buffer size



TCP breaks the stream into pieces transparently

Longer writes often improve performance

- Let TCP "do it's thing"
- Fewer system calls

How?

 $\Pi$ 

- -l <size> (lower case ell)
- Example –I 128K

UDP doesn't break up writes, don't exceed Path MTU

## TCP performance: parallel streams



Parallel streams can help in some situations TCP attempts to be "fair" and conservative

- Sensitive to loss, but more streams hedge bet
- Circumventing fairness mechanism
  - 1 Iperf stream vs. n background: Iperf gets 1/(n+1)
  - x lperf streams vs. n background: lperf gets x/(n+x)
  - Example: 2 background, 1 Iperf stream: 1/3 = 33%
  - Example: 2 background, 8 Iperf streams: 8/10 = 80%

How?

 $\Pi$ 

- The –P option sets the number of streams to use
- There is a point of diminishing returns

## TCP performance: congestion control algorithm selection



Classic TCP (aka TCP Reno) is very conservative

Linux supports several different algorithms

- <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TCP\_congestion\_avoidance\_algorithm</u>
- CUBIC seems to work well for RE&E traffic flows

How?

-Z allows the selection of a congestion control algorithm



#### **UDP** Measurements

## **UDP** Measurements

ESnet

UDP provides greater transparency

We can directly measure some things TCP hides

- Loss
- Jitter
- Out of order delivery

Use -b to specify target bandwidth

- Default is 1M
- Two sets of multipliers
  - K. m, g multipliers are 1000, 1000<sup>2</sup>,1000<sup>3</sup>
  - K, M, G multipliers are 1024, 1024<sup>2</sup>,1024<sup>3</sup>
- Eg, -b 1m is 1,000,000 bits per second



#### **Example Iperf UDP Invocation**

Server (receiver):

{Ⅲ

\$ iperf -u -s
Server listening on UDP port 5001
Receiving 1470 byte datagrams
UDP buffer size: 107 KByte (default)
[ 3] local 10.0.1.5 port 5001 connected with 10.0.1.10 port 65299
[ 3] 0.0-10.0 sec 1.25 MBytes 1.05 Mbits/sec 0.008 ms 0/ 893 (0%)

Client (sender):

\$ iperf -u -c 10.0.1.5 -b 1M Client connecting to 10.0.1.5, UDP port 5001 Sending 1470 byte datagrams UDP buffer size: 9.00 KByte (default) [ 3] local 10.0.1.10 port 65300 connected with 10.0.1.5 port 5001 [ ID] Interval Transfer Bandwidth [ 3] 0.0-10.0 sec 1.25 MBytes 1.05 Mbits/sec [ 3] Server Report: [ 3] 0.0-10.0 sec 1.25 MBytes 1.05 Mbits/sec 0.003 ms 0/ 893 (0%) [ 3] Sent 893 datagrams



## **Useful tricks**

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy | Office of Science

## Using Iperf to generate high rate streams



UDP doesn't require a receiver

If you have good counters on your switches & routers those can be used to measure

Turns out UDP reception can be very resource intensive resulting in drops at the NIC at high rates (8-9 Gb/s)



#### Use the –T option to Iperf to control the number of times the traffic loops Can also use firewall filters to discard a certain TTL range. Other filters may be prudent as well. Use firewall filters to count traffic on your router.

(Do not try this at home, the author is a highly insane network engineer.)

Routing loops for fun and profit

Generate 10 Gb/s of traffic using a 1 Gb/s source host



## **Iperf Development**

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy | Office of Science

## Iperf Development (Iperf is dead, long live Iperf)

Iperf 2

- Iperf 2 is widely used
- Current version is 2.0.5 (released July 8, 2010)
- No further development, maintenance only
  - critical patches
  - sporadic releases, only when necessary

Iperf 3

- Currently in development
- Current version is 3.0b1 (released July 8, 2010)
- Weekly beta releases (starting this past Thursday)
- Eventually replace Iperf 2



## Iperf 3: current status



#### Working

- TCP
- Control channel
  - Stream setup
  - Test parameter negotiation
  - Results Exchange
- Clean code!

#### Coming Soon

- UDP tests
- API with sane error reporting, library
- Timeline at: <a href="http://code.google.com/p/iperf/wiki/lperf3Roadmap">http://code.google.com/p/iperf/wiki/lperf3Roadmap</a>

### **More Information**



Iperf 2: http://sourceforge.net/projects/iperf/ Iperf 3: http://code.google.com/p/iperf/

User Discussion: <u>iperf-users@lists.sourceforge.net</u> Developer Discussion: <u>iperf-dev@googlegroups.com</u>

Network performance: <u>http://fasterdata.es.net/</u>

Jon Dugan <jdugan@es.net>