

## **SDN for Science Networks**

Inder Monga

Eric Pouyoul, Chin Guok and Eli Dart

Energy Sciences Network,

Scientific Networking Division







### Disclaimer



U.S. Department of Energy | Office of Science

## **Two Prime Requirements**

#### 1. Data Mobility



Long latencies (RTT) Multi-domain Multi-vendor Multi-technology





2. Global Collaboration– Higgs Boson





## Data Mobility: Problem is simple to articulate



Ability to move large quantities of data from one location to another over the network

- a) Tuned and architected for the best performance
- b) Most efficient utilization of end-to-end system capability &
- c) Usability for end-user, site-admin and network-admins

## Why is this a problem?

- TCP is the underlying data transfer protocol
  - A "fragile" workhorse
  - Very sensitive to loss, especially on long RTT links
  - Leads to non-ideal use of the deployed infrastructure
    - Apps underperform their potential





TCP Congestion Performance (RTT 70ms, 1500 MSS, 10Gbps, 256Mb queue)

http://www.potaroo.net/papers/isoc/2005-06/fig2.jpg

### **Possible** approaches?



- 1. Replace/change TCP
  - New TCP-variants or layer 2 protocols like RoCE

#### 2. Use TCP with right environment

- Provide a loss free, high bandwidth network service over wide area
- Enough bandwidth to avoid congestion-based loss
- Fast lanes (virtual circuits), end-to-end
- Big buffers to avoid burst-related loss
- Test and measurement infrastructure to ensure a perpetually clean
  infrastructure



## SDN/OpenFlow Investigation:

## What strengths can be applied to the problems described?

#### Journey with OpenFlow/SDN Joint Techs Summer 2011, Fairbanks, Alaska



U.S. Department of Energy | Office of Science

ESnet

#### Journey with OpenFlow/SDN

Inaugural Open Network Summit, 2011, Stanford and SuperComputing 2011, Seattle



U.S. Department of Energy | Office of Science





## The "ScienceDMZ" Design Pattern





Multi-Science DMZ

**Desired capabilities** 

- Automated end-to-end connections (less dependent on real-time human decisions)
- Best utilization of shared WAN resources
- Dynamic flexible based on application usage patterns

## Implementing the ScienceDMZ Design Pattern

Simple application of OF

OF Switch: fine grained mapping of science flows to guaranteed bandwidth circuits

- Dynamic
- Application/Policy driven
- Automated VLAN translation

OF Controller: manage WAN resources (virtual circuits, bandwidth etc.)

- Site administrative resource allocation
- Site-WAN, Site-Site policies enforced





## How about the PIs with their storage and clusters?





Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## SDN and the Wide-Area Network

Software-Defined Networking has already been well adopted by the R&E wide-area networks

OSCARS centralized advanced reservation and provisioning

What's different with this SDN/OF wave?

- Formal concept of a network OS
- Abstract Network model

What are the fundamental network abstractions?

 All discussions on standard Northbound APIs are fruitless unless we define these



SDN with Virtualization Guru Parulkar, from "OpenFlow/SDN: A new approach to networking"



#### Going back to Science Networking requirements $\geq$ Science WAN 8 End-Site with ScDMZ $\geq$ ZA $\mathbb{R}$ Я End-Site with ScDMZ

End-Site with ScDMZ

ESnet

Dynamic Point to point circuits scale reasonable well, but don't meet all global collaboration requirements (requirement #2)

## A wide-area abstraction = Logical programmable OF switch





- Multi-point to multi-point connectivity
  - While leveraging the multi-domain, advanced reservation capabilities of R&E networks
- OpenFlow interface for flow programmability by the ScDMZ OF controllers
- · Can be sliced further into virtual-switches or topologies

### **Recursive Abstraction**





# Practical considerations of a programmable switch abstraction



- Do not need to have all OF devices in the WAN
- Do not need to have OF support in the Site
  - Just a controller
- No new protocols or API
- Capable of supporting both L2/L3 switching
- Supports all models of end-to-end conversations aka brokers
  - ECSEL, GENI...

## Summary



Mapping Science requirements, architectures, design patterns to OpenFlow/SDN paradigm

- Still in exploration phase
- Looking at models that does not force a complete overhaul of the network

Simple abstractions are needed, that scale from campus to WAN

Layer 8 issues are important and will be hotly debated

Automation and policies go hand in hand